

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET | NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 09/944,499 | | 08/30/2001 | Salman Akram | 3936.1US (99-0066. | 3936.1US (99-0066.1) 4371 | |
| 24247 | 7590 | 07/12/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TRASK BR | TTL | | CHAMBLISS, ALONZO | | | |
| P.O. BOX 25 SALT LAKE | | UT 84110 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ~ | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/944,499 | AKRAM ET AL. | Ø. | | | | |
| navioury notice. | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Alonzo Chambliss | 2827 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | rrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply h places the applicat | ition in | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriation of the final (a) | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | • | see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | · | | | | | | |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims | s. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed a | amendment | | | | |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NOT | T place the | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 7-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app | roved or b) disapproved by the | he Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | | |
| 0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | Hamp Cla | mlliss | | | | |
| | | Alonzo Chambliss Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2827 | | | | | |

Continuation of 10. Other: The terminal disclaimer filed on 6/25/04 has been approved. Applicant's arguments are persuasive for the same rationale found on pages 2-4 of the final rejection. Furthermore, the reply filed 6/25/04 is improper because it is not limited to appeal or to amendment as specified in 37 CFR 1.113:

37 CFR § 1.113 Final rejection or action.

(a) On the second or any subsequent examination or consideration by the examiner the rejection or other action may be made final, whereupon applicants, or for ex parte reexaminations filed under § 1.510, patent owner's reply is limited to appeal in the case of rejection of any claim (§ 1.191), or to amendment as specified in §1.114 or § 1.116. Petition may be taken to the Commissioner in the case of objections or requirements not involved in the rejection of any claim (§ 1.181). Reply to a final rejection or action must comply with § 1.11 or paragraph (c) of this section. For final actions in an inter partes reexamination filed under § 1.913, see § 1.953. See also MPEP 714.13:

Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1.116(c) is expected in all amendments after final rejection. Failure to properly reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection results in abandonment. A reply unde 37 CFR 1.113 is limited to:

(A) an amendment complying with 37 CFR 1.116; (B) a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee); or (C) a request for continued examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 3~ CFR 1.111) and the fee se forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). RCE practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to utility or plant patent applications filed before June 8, 1995 and design applications.

Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d), if appropriate. See MPEP § 201.06(d).

Further see MPEP 1205, last paragraph:

Failure to remove all grounds of rejection and otherwise place an application in condition for allowance or to file an appeal after final rejection will result in the application becoming abandoned, even if one or more claims have been allowed, except where claims suggested for interference have been copied...